FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT PROTESTION DRV

2006 NOV 28 A 10: 11

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA BRUNSWICK DIVISION

JOSE M. CANDELARIO,

Petitioner.

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO.: CV206-093

JOSE VASQUEZ, Warden,

Respondent.

ORDER

After an independent review of the record, this Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, to which objections have been filed. In his objections, Petitioner contends that he has been deprived of his liberty interest in good time credit without being afforded due process of law. Petitioner reasserts the factual contentions of his § 2241 petition, namely that he was the victim of a fabricated investigation and that he was denied his right to call witnesses in his defense before the Discipline Hearing Officer ("DHO").

This court finds that Petitioner was afforded all the requirements of due process as articulated by the Supreme Court in Wolff v. McDonnell, 418 U.S. 539, 563-66, 94 S. Ct. 2963, 2978-80, 41 L. Ed. 2d 935 (1974). Petitioner received more than twenty-four hours notice of the DHO hearing, he was permitted to present evidence in his defense, and he received a written copy of the findings of the DHO. The fact that two out of four requested witnesses did not testify live but instead submitted written statements is merely a "mutual"

accommodation between institutional needs and objectives and the provisions of the Constitution " Wolff, 418 U.S. at 556, 94 S. Ct. at 2974.

Petitioner's objections are without merit. The Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is adopted as the opinion of this Court. The petition for writ of habeas corpus, filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, is **DISMISSED**. The Clerk of Court is hereby authorized and directed to enter an appropriate Judgment of Dismissal.

SO ORDERED, this and day of Movember, 2006

JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA